

FINANCIAL ECONOMETRICS
SHANGAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY
SUMMER SCHOOL – 2025-2026
PROBLEM SET - LECTURE 4
With Solutions

FATIH KANSOY

Reference: Stock, J.H. and Watson, M.W., Introduction to Econometrics (4th Edition, Global Edition)

Chapter 6: Linear Regression with Multiple Regressors

Question 1. Data were collected from a random sample of 200 home sales from a community in 2013. Let *Price* denote the selling price (in \$1000s), *BDR* denote the number of bedrooms, *Bath* denote the number of bathrooms, *Hsize* denote the size of the house (in square feet), *Lsize* denote the lot size (in square feet), *Age* denote the age of the house (in years), and *Poor* denote a binary variable that is equal to 1 if the condition of the house is reported as “poor.” An estimated regression yields:

$$\widehat{Price} = 109.7 + 0.567 \cdot BDR + 26.9 \cdot Bath + 0.239 \cdot Hsize \\ + 0.005 \cdot Lsize + 0.1 \cdot Age - 56.9 \cdot Poor$$

with $R^2 = 0.85$ and $SER = 45.8$.

- (a) Suppose that a homeowner converts part of an existing family room in her house into a new bathroom. What is the expected increase in the value of the house?

- (b) Suppose that a homeowner adds a new bathroom to her house, which increases the size of the house by 80 square feet. What is the expected increase in the value of the house?
- (c) What is the loss in value if a homeowner lets his house run down so that its condition becomes “poor”?
- (d) Compute the $\bar{R}^2$ for the regression.

Solution:

(a) Converting existing space to bathroom:

If the bathroom is created from existing space, only *Bath* changes ($\Delta Bath = 1$), while *Hsize* remains constant.

Expected increase:

$$\widehat{\Delta Price} = 26.9 \times \Delta Bath = 26.9 \times 1 = \boxed{\$26,900}$$

(Remember: *Price* is in thousands of dollars.)

(b) Adding bathroom with new construction (80 sq ft):

Now both *Bath* and *Hsize* change: $\Delta Bath = 1$, $\Delta Hsize = 80$.

Expected increase:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Delta Price} &= 26.9 \times \Delta Bath + 0.239 \times \Delta Hsize \\ &= 26.9 \times 1 + 0.239 \times 80 \\ &= 26.9 + 19.12 = 46.02\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\$46,020}$$

Interpretation: Adding both the bathroom and square footage provides significantly more value than converting existing space.

(c) Loss from “poor” condition:

If condition changes from good to poor: $\Delta Poor = 1$.

Expected change in price:

$$\widehat{\Delta Price} = -56.9 \times \Delta Poor = -56.9 \times 1 = -56.9$$

$$\text{Loss} = \boxed{\$56,900}$$

(d) **Adjusted R^2 :**

The formula for adjusted R^2 is:

$$\bar{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-k-1}(1-R^2)$$

where $n = 200$ (observations) and $k = 6$ (regressors: BDR, Bath, Hsize, Lsize, Age, Poor).

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}^2 &= 1 - \frac{200-1}{200-6-1}(1-0.85) \\ &= 1 - \frac{199}{193}(0.15) \\ &= 1 - 1.031 \times 0.15 \\ &= 1 - 0.1547 = \boxed{0.845}\end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, using the relationship:

$$\bar{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{SSR/(n-k-1)}{TSS/(n-1)}$$

Note: The adjusted R^2 (0.845) is slightly lower than R^2 (0.85), reflecting the penalty for including multiple regressors. The model explains about 84.5% of the variation in home prices after adjusting for degrees of freedom.

Question 2. (Requires calculus) Consider the regression model:

$$Y_i = \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. (Notice that there is no constant term in the regression.) Following analysis like that used in Appendix 4.2:

- (a) Specify the least squares function that is minimized by OLS.
- (b) Compute the partial derivatives of the objective function with respect to β_1 and β_2 .
- (c) Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}X_{2i} = 0$. Show that $\hat{\beta}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}Y_i / \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}^2$.
- (d) Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}X_{2i} \neq 0$. Derive an expression for $\hat{\beta}_1$ as a function of the data (Y_i, X_{1i}, X_{2i}) , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

- (e) Suppose that the model includes an intercept: $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$. Show that the least squares estimators satisfy $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{X}_2$.
- (f) As in (e), suppose that the model contains an intercept. Also suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1i} - \bar{X}_1)(X_{2i} - \bar{X}_2) = 0$. Show that $\hat{\beta}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1i} - \bar{X}_1)(Y_i - \bar{Y}) / \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1i} - \bar{X}_1)^2$. How does this compare to the OLS estimator of β_1 from the regression that omits X_2 ?

Solution:

- (a) **Least squares objective function:**

OLS minimizes the sum of squared residuals:

$$S(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_1 X_{1i} - \beta_2 X_{2i})^2$$

- (b) **First-order conditions:**

Taking partial derivatives and setting equal to zero:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}(Y_i - \beta_1 X_{1i} - \beta_2 X_{2i}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_2} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i}(Y_i - \beta_1 X_{1i} - \beta_2 X_{2i}) = 0$$

Simplifying (dividing by -2):

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} Y_i = \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}^2 + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} X_{2i} \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i} Y_i = \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} X_{2i} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i}^2 \quad (2)$$

- (c) **When $\sum X_{1i} X_{2i} = 0$ (orthogonal regressors):**

From equation (1) with $\sum X_{1i} X_{2i} = 0$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} Y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}^2 + \hat{\beta}_2 \cdot 0$$

Solving:

$$\boxed{\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i} Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{1i}^2}}$$

Key insight: When X_1 and X_2 are orthogonal (uncorrelated when centered), the multiple regression coefficient equals the simple regression coefficient.

(d) **General case** ($\sum X_{1i}X_{2i} \neq 0$):

From the normal equations (1) and (2), solve simultaneously.

From (2):

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum X_{2i}Y_i - \hat{\beta}_1 \sum X_{1i}X_{2i}}{\sum X_{2i}^2}$$

Substituting into (1):

$$\sum X_{1i}Y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 \sum X_{1i}^2 + \frac{\sum X_{1i}X_{2i}}{\sum X_{2i}^2} \left(\sum X_{2i}Y_i - \hat{\beta}_1 \sum X_{1i}X_{2i} \right)$$

Solving for $\hat{\beta}_1$:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum X_{1i}Y_i \cdot \sum X_{2i}^2 - \sum X_{2i}Y_i \cdot \sum X_{1i}X_{2i}}{\sum X_{1i}^2 \cdot \sum X_{2i}^2 - (\sum X_{1i}X_{2i})^2}$$

(e) **Model with intercept:**

For $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$, the first-order condition for β_0 is:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_{1i} - \beta_2 X_{2i}) = 0$$

This gives:

$$\sum Y_i = n\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \sum X_{1i} + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum X_{2i}$$

Dividing by n :

$$\bar{Y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{X}_2$$

Therefore:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{X}_2$$

Interpretation: The regression line passes through the point of means $(\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \bar{Y})$.

(f) **Intercept model with orthogonal demeaned regressors:**

When $\sum (X_{1i} - \bar{X}_1)(X_{2i} - \bar{X}_2) = 0$, the demeaned regressors are orthogonal.

From part (e), we can write the model in deviations from means. The OLS estimator becomes:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1i} - \bar{X}_1)(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1i} - \bar{X}_1)^2}$$

Comparison with simple regression:

This is **identical** to the OLS estimator from regressing Y on X_1 alone (omitting X_2).

Key insight: When X_1 and X_2 are **uncorrelated** in the sample, including or excluding X_2 does not change the estimated coefficient on X_1 . This is because there is no omitted variable bias when the omitted variable is uncorrelated with the included regressors.